

Soochow University International Programs

2021 SCUIP Winter Session I ECON202



Lecture 16: Monetary and Fiscal Policy: Part 2

ECON202: Macroeconomics Soochow University



How Fiscal Policy Influences Aggregate Demand

- Fiscal policy refers to the government's choices regarding
 ▷ government purchases (G) and
 ▷ taxes (T)
- Fiscal policy influences saving, investment, and growth in the long run.
 ▷ See Lecture 6
- In the short run, fiscal policy primarily affects the aggregate demand.



Fiscal Policy: expansionary and contractionary

- Expansionary fiscal policy: G↑ or T↓
 ▷ Decreases government saving T G
- Contractionary fiscal policy: G↓ or T↑
 ▷ Also called "fiscal austerity" or "belt tightening"
 ▷ Increase government saving T G



Changes in Government Purchases

- When policymakers change the money supply or taxes, the effect on aggregate demand is indirect through the spending decisions of firms or households.
- When the government alters its own purchases of goods or services (*G*), it shifts the aggregate-demand curve directly.



Changes in Government Purchases (Cont'd)

- There are two important macroeconomic consequences of a change in government purchases:
 The multiplier effect
 - ▷ The crowding-out effect



The Multiplier Effect

• Government purchases are said to have a multiplier effect on aggregate demand.

▷ Each dollar spent by the government may raise the aggregate demand for goods and services by more than a dollar.

▷ Government spending increases income and thereby increases consumer spending which leads to further increases in income.

 $\triangleright G \uparrow \Rightarrow \text{aggregate demand} \uparrow \Rightarrow Y \uparrow \Rightarrow C \uparrow \Rightarrow \text{aggregate demand} \uparrow \Rightarrow Y \uparrow \Rightarrow C \uparrow \cdots$



The Multiplier Effect (Cont'd)





A Formula for the Spending Multiplier

• The formula for the spending multiplier is:

Multiplier = 1/(1 - MPC)

▷ An important number in this formula is the marginal propensity to consume (MPC)

▷ The MPC is the fraction of every additional dollar of income that a household spends on domestic goods and services.

▷ The bigger the MPC, the bigger the spending multiplier.

▷ That is, the more people love to spend, the more effective government spending is in increasing production and employment.



A Formula for the Spending Multiplier (Cont'd)

• If the MPC is 3/4, then the multiplier will be:

Multiplier = 1/(1 - 3/4) = 4

- In this case, a \$20 billion increase in government spending generates \$80 billion of increased demand for goods and services.
- If the MPC is 9/10, then the multiplier will be:

Multiplier = 1/(1 - 9/10) = 10

• In this case, a \$20 billion increase in government spending generates \$200 billion of increased demand for goods and services.



The Size of the Spending Multiplier

- The continuous chain effect described by the multiplier effect works only if there is enough unemployed labor available.
- The multiplier effect will end up creating jobs in other countries if people end up spending their incomes on imported goods.



The Crowding-Out Effect

- On the negative side, government spending may not affect the economy as strongly as predicted by the multiplier.
- An increase in government purchases causes GDP to increase (as indicated by the multiplier effect)
- This increases the demand for money.

▷ The liquidity preference theory argues that people wish to carry more money when income increase because of increased shopping.

- This causes lending to fall and the interest rate to rise.
- A higher interest rate reduces investment spending and, therefore, aggregate demand.



The Crowding-Out Effect (Cont'd)

- This reduction in demand that results when a fiscal expansion raises the interest rate is called the crowding-out effect.
- The crowding-out effect tends to dampen the effects of fiscal policy on aggregate demand.



The Crowding-Out Effect (Cont'd)







The Crowding-Out Effect (Cont'd)

• When the government increases its purchases by \$20 billion, the aggregate demand for goods and services could rise by more or less than \$20 billion, depending on whether the multiplier effect or the crowding-out effect is larger.



Changes in Taxes

- When the government cuts personal income taxes, it increases households' takehome pay.
 - ▷ Households save some of this additional income.
 - ▷ Households also spend some of the tax cut on consumer goods.
 - ▷ Increased consumption spending shifts the aggregate demand curve to the right.

Changes in Taxes (Cont'd)

- The size of a tax cut's impact on aggregate demand depends, once again, on the multiplier and crowding-out effects.
- The impact of a tax cut also depends on households' perceptions about the permanence of the tax change.



Tax cuts: temporary vs. permanent

- The effect of a tax cut on aggregate demand is also affected by households' perceptions about the permanence of the tax change.
- If the tax cut is perceived to be temporary, most of the tax cut will be saved rather than spent.
- Therefore, a temporary tax cut will not boost aggregate demand very much.



Using Policy to Stabilize the Economy

- The efforts of the government to increase aggregate demand during a recession are called stabilization policy.
- Economic stabilization has been an explicit goal of U.S. policy since the Employment Act of 1946.
- This act states that "it is the continuing policy and responsibility of the federal government to ... promote full employment and production."



The Case for Active Stabilization Policy

• The Employment Act has two implications:

▷ The government should avoid being the cause of economic fluctuations.

▷ The government should respond to changes in the private economy in order to stabilize aggregate demand.

-> If private-sector activity pushes aggregate demand to the left (thereby causing a recession), the government should do what it can to push aggregate demand to the right (thereby ending the recession).

The Case for Active Stabilization Policy (Cont'd)

- What is the evidence that stabilization policy works?
- The large increases in public spending in the US after WWII are widely regarded as having played a crucial role in rescuing the economy from the Great Depression.
 ▷ Key point: if the private sector refuses to spend, the government becomes the spender of last resort.
- Similarly, the large tax cuts during the (short-lived) Kennedy administration are also widely regarded as having led to rapid growth.



The Case Against Active Stabilization Policy

- Some economists argue that monetary and fiscal policy destabilizes the economy.
- Why?
- Because monetary and fiscal policy affect the economy with a substantial lag.
- These lagged (or mistimed) effects destabilize the economy instead of stabilizing it.
- Therefore, opponents of stabilization policy say that the economy should be left to deal with the short-run fluctuations on its own.



The Case Against Active Stabilization Policy (Cont'd)

- Most economists believe that it takes at least six months for monetary policy to affect output and employment.
- And these effects can then last for several years.
- Economic forecasting is very imprecise. It is difficult to implement monetary policy six months before a recession.
- When monetary policy reacts late, the AD curve may shift right after the economy has already recovered.
- This would destabilize the economy.



The Case Against Active Stabilization Policy (Cont'd)

• The lags that reduce the effectiveness of fiscal policy are largely due to the sluggishness of the political process.



Budget deficits and the national debt

- Recall that expansionary fiscal policy leads to an increase in government borrowing (budget deficit).
- If a government keeps borrowing year after year, its debt accumulates.
- As the government's debt accumulates, lenders may start to worry about the possibility of default ...



Budget deficits and the national debt (Cont'd)

- As a result, the interest rate the government has to pay may rise.
- This rise in the interest rate may further increase the probability of default.
- As a result, the interest rate the government has to pay may rise again.
- This rise in interest rate may increase the probability of default yet again.
- And so on and on ...



Budget deficits and the national debt (Cont'd)

- At some point, the first flicker of suspicion among lenders may turn into a selffulfilling prophecy, and default may become inevitable.
- This is especially the case when the interest rate the government has to pay exceeds the rate of growth of the economy's income.
- A country with low government debt has a lot of room to use fiscal stimulus to fight a recession.



The Balanced Budget Multiplier

- In theory, it is possible to use expansionary fiscal policy without any additional borrowing by the government!
- Suppose both government spending and taxes rise by \$800 billion.
- No additional borrowing would be necessary.
- And yet, aggregate demand would increase.
- Why?



The Balanced Budget Multiplier (Cont'd)

- If \$800 billion fell from the sky, people would spend part of it (say, \$700 billion) and save the rest (\$100 billion).
- By reverse reasoning, if the government took away \$800 billion in taxes, spending by taxpayers would fall by \$700 billion.
- But the government spending would rise by \$800 billion.
- Therefore, on balance, the country's total spending wold rise by \$100 billion.



The Balanced Budget Multiplier (Cont'd)

- In this way, the balanced budget expansion of both government spending and taxes, increases the aggregate demand for domestic goods and services without any increase in government borrowing!
- Unfortunately, I know of no examples of this trick actually being implemented.



Automatic Stabilizers

- We have seen that expansionary fiscal policy has various lags that make it less effective in stabilization.
- A way to avoid the lags problem is to use automatic stabilizers.
- Automatic stabilizers are changes in fiscal policy that stimulate aggregate demand when the economy goes into a recession without policymakers having to do anything.
- Examples of automatic stabilizers include a progressive tax system and meanstested forms of government spending.



Automatic Stabilizers (Cont'd)

- When incomes decrease, so do the government's tax revenues. This automatic tax cut boosts aggregate demand just when such a boost is most needed.
- Government spending on unemployment insurance, welfare benefits, and other forms of income support also act as automatic stabilizers.
- Unfortunately, these automatic stabilizers are not always sufficiently strong and, therefore, policy makers may still need to enact stabilization policies.



Automatic Stabilizers: Balanced Budget Amendment

- Some politicians, upset by our huge budget deficits, support a balanced budget amendment to the US constitution.
- A balanced budget constitutional amendment would force the government to always keep tax revenue equal to government spending (*G* = *T*, always).
- Such an amendment would end the role of automatic stabilizers in our current system.



Balanced Budget Amendment (Cont'd)

- We have seen before that during a recession, incomes decrease and the government's tax revenues also decrease.
- Under a balanced budget amendment, the fall in tax revenues would force the government to cut government spending.
- A reduction in government spending during a recession would be highly inappropriate.
- For this reason, economists are opposed to a balanced budget amendment.
- However, keeping the budget balanced in the long run may be a good idea.



Final Exam

- Weight: 30% of the final grade
- Closed book and calculators are allowed.
- 2 hours + 10 minutes reading time
- 3 Sections
 - ▷ Section A: True/False (MUST provide the justification reasons) (4 questions, 20 marks in total)
 - ▷ Section B: Multiple Choice (20 questions, 60 marks in total)
 - ▷ Section C: Problem Solving (4 questions, 20 marks in total) (If necessary draw the diagram)





2021 SCUIP Winter Session I

